UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/517,037	01/31/2005	Jean-Jacques Taillardat	P/3328-70 2807	
	7590 07/24/200 FABER GERB & SOF	EXAMINER		
	OF THE AMERICAS	FLORES SANCHEZ, OMAR		
NEW YORK, N	NI 100300403		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3724	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/517,03	37	TAILLARDAT, JEAN-JACQUES				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Omar Flor	es-Sánchez	3724				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the	e cover sheet with the c	correspondence ad	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THE FR 1.136(a). In no event. on. period will apply and w statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tin III expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	28 March 2008						
•		This action is n	on-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for all			secution as to the	e merits is			
٠,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>7,8 and 14</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· —	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,9-13 and 15-19</u> is/are rejected.							
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	.a.						
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ınd/or election r	equirement					
		ma, or olocation i	oquii omoni.					
	on Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□	accepted or b)	objected to by the I	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice (3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	8)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to applicant's amendment received on 3/28/08

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 6, 15, 16, 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Garrett et al. (3,899,945) in view of Hirakawa et al. (5,297,461).

Garrett et al. discloses (Fig. 1-12) the invention including a machine 12, a machine entrance (see Fig. 3, the left side entrance), a machine exit (see Fig. 3, the right side exit), a processing zone (see Fig. 1, the center area), a sheet drive (58 and 60), a first tooling 16, a first rotary support shaft 125, a counter-tooling 18, a second rotary support shaft 101, an operating apparatus (56 and 126), the first tooling is rotating at a processing speed having a tangential component which is equal to the drive speed of the sheets (see col. 4, lines 5-8), a cylindrical surface 36, a first motor 56 and a second motor 126. Garrett et al. doesn't show a plurality of working strips. However, Hirakawa et al. teaches the use of a plurality of working strips (3 and 15) for the purpose of obviating the shortcoming of breaking of the sheet at a change point. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the device of Garrett et al. by providing the a plurality of working strips

Application/Control Number:

10/517,037

Art Unit: 3724

as taught by Hirakawa et al. in order to obtain a device that obviates the shortcoming of breaking

Page 3

of the sheet at a change point. Hirakawa et al. teaches working strips having a width in the

circumferential direction greater than a width the first tooling (see Fig. 5A) and the working strip

is mounted detachably by bolts 11 on the counter-tooling.

4. Claims 5 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Garrett et

al. (3,899,945) in view of Hirakawa et al. (5,297,461) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in

view of Kishine et al. (6,401,583 B1).

The modified device of Garrett et al. discloses the claimed invention except for the width

of each working strip lies within the range of 1.05 to 1.8 times the width of the first tooling. It

would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

made to have modified the device of Kishine et al. by providing the width of each working strip

lies within the range of 1.05 to 1.8 times the width of the first tooling, since it has been held that

where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum

value or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105USPQ 233.

5. Claims 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Garrett et al.

(3,899,945) in view of Hirakawa et al. (5,297,461) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in

view of Thiel et al. (6,220,134 B1).

The modified device of Garrett et al. discloses the invention substantially as claimed

including first and second tools 34 (see col. 7, lines 11-13) and a control unit 127. Garrett et al.

Art Unit: 3724

doesn't show a detector. However, Thiel et al. teaches the use of a detector 17 for the purpose of detecting the position of the web relative to the cutting device and adjusting the cutting device for cutting in the register mark. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the device of Garrett et al. by providing the (the missing limitation) as taught by Thiel et al. in order to obtain a device that detects the position of the web relative to the cutting device and adjusts the cutting device for cutting in the register mark.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 3724

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Omar Flores-Sánchez whose telephone number is 571-272-4507. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer Ashley can be reached on 571-272-4502. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/O. F./
Examiner, Art Unit 3724
7/18/2008
/Boyer D. Ashley/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3724